

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,040	06/07/2006	Markus Gewehr	0690-0132PUS1	9266	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 08/21/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747			BLAKELY III, NELSON CLARENCE		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 ARTUNIT PA				PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,040	GEWEHR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NELSON C. BLAKELY III	1614		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS 3 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), after 51K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or electi	on requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	d or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No.
Copies of the certified copies of the priority department of the prior	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

U.S.	Patent and	Trade	mark Off	K
PT	OL-326 (Rev	08-06	١

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ___

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

Claims 1-16 of the instant application are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to a (hetero)cyclylcarboxanilide of the Formula (I).

Group II, claim(s) 14 and 16, drawn to a method for controlling harmful fungi.

Group III, claim(s) 14, drawn to a method of making the compound of Formula (I).

Instant claim 14 is non-statutory in that the claimed invention, as set forth, is not encompassed within one of the statutory classes presented under 35 U.S.C. 101, such as a product/composition, process of use, or process of making. Therefore, the Examiner has considered these possible interpretations of instant claim 14, thereby placing instant claim 14 in all three groups above.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Art Unit: 1614

Group I involves the compound of Formula (I). The special technical feature with regard to the novelty of the compound of Formula (I) are not shared by Groups II and III; therefore, the special technical feature of Group I is not present in Groups II and III.

Group II involves the method for controlling harmful fungi. The methodological steps with regard to novelty are not shared by Groups I and III; therefore, the special technical feature of Group II is not present in Groups I and III.

Group III involves the method for making the compound of Formula (I). The methodological steps with regard to novelty of Group III are not shared by Groups I and II; therefore, the special technical feature of Group III is not present in Groups I and II.

With regard to Groups I-III, Applicant is further required to elect a single disclosed compound of Formula (I).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A single disclosed compound of Formula (I)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1614

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Compound of Formula (I) - Instant claims 1-15

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-16.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to the species, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 because the instant invention does not set forth a technical relationship among the claimed inventions. For instance, the instant invention lacks unity in that with regard to the compound of Formula (I) set forth in instant claim 1. R^{3m} and R^{4m} are divergent in their

Art Unit: 1614

varying moieties (e.g. phenyl, hydrogen), wherein *m* is 1, 2, or 3, and do not share a technical relationship, such as a common core structure or biological, physical, or chemical properties. Therefore, with compositions comprising components of varying structural moieties, such as those claimed in instant claim 1, there is not a technical relationship among the claimed inventions.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1614

<u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Claims 1-16 are subject to a restriction/election of species requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NELSON C. BLAKELY III whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1614

(571) 270-3290. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 7:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. C. B. III/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Page 8

Art Unit: 1614